

24 FEB 1969

Mr. John F. Haley, Staff Director  
Joint Committee on Printing  
United States Senate Post Office  
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Haley:

Thank you for your letter of February 11, 1969 in which you invite our comments on the recently revised proofs of "Government Printing and Binding Regulations."

While we have no suggestions to make in regard to the regulations, we do appreciate your courtesy in referring them to us.

Sincerely,

151

George E. Meloon  
Director of Logistics

COMMITTEE ROOM  
5-151, U.S. CAPITOL  
PHONE: 225-5241

69-840

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES  
JOINT COMMITTEE ON PRINTING  
WASHINGTON

ADDRESS ONLY  
CHAIRMAN, JOINT COMMITTEE ON PRINTING  
CARE OF U.S. SENATE P.O.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

1569 6771

February 11, 1969

Director  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D. C.

My dear Sir:

Enclosed you will find a set of proofs of "Government Printing and Binding Regulations," No. 20, published by the Joint Committee on Printing, setting forth a significant change in the Federal printing program policy direction.

These new policy revisions are the result of a total Federal printing program study, consummated by the Joint Committee with Government Printing Office and departmental participation. In addition, there have been regional studies with Government Printing Office and departmental representation, as well as the private printing industry. In its letter of November 21, 1968, copy enclosed, you will find an additional explanation of the committee's new policy.

In consonance with its regular practice, the Joint Committee on Printing herewith invites your review and comments on these regulations, relative to creating the most effective methods and administrative procedures wherewith to effectuate the newly established policy. We will appreciate having your response not later than February 25, 1969, including a corrected and updated list of the printing plants which you currently operate.

By direction of the Joint Committee on Printing:

  
Staff Director

Encls.

JAS  
AJB

18 February 1969


MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Review of Agency Printing by Joint Committee on Printing

1. Prior to a meeting of the Electronic Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Printing, the writer conversed with Mr. Paul Beach (Deputy Staff Director of JCOP) regarding the content of the impending review of Government printing and its possible effect on CIA. Mr. Beach stated that indeed the JCOP did intend to review Agency printing as a whole, but the purpose was to identify those printing requirements which could be done commercially. Mr. Beach said that classified material would not be considered commercial printing candidates. This is in keeping with prior policy and philosophy, and Mr. Beach saw no reason to believe that either would change.

2. Mr. Beach said that further clarification of the larger purpose of the review would be forthcoming in a document to be mailed to all agencies in early March.

STATINTL

  
Systems Staff, PSD  
31.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM					
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL		SECRET
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>					
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS		DATE	INITIALS	
1	OLC, Room 7D35 Hqs. Bldg. Attn: <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 150px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>			A	
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
	<b>ACTION</b>		<b>DIRECT REPLY</b>		<b>PREPARE REPLY</b>
	<b>APPROVAL</b>		<b>DISPATCH</b>		<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>
	<b>COMMENT</b>		<b>FILE</b>		<b>RETURN</b>
	<b>CONCURRENCE</b>		<b>INFORMATION</b>		<b>SIGNATURE</b>
<b>Remarks:</b>					
<p>Lyle:</p> <p>Per our telecon, attached is a copy of the letter George Meloon signed in reply to that from the Joint Committee on Printing. This is the way in which such queries have been handled in the past.</p> <p>Also attached is a copy of a Memo for Record concerning <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span>'s exchange with Paul Beach concerning the survey of Government printing. My own opinion is that we should await further contact from Beach or the Committee before deciding how the survey should be handled here.</p>					
<b>FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER</b>					
<b>FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.</b>				<b>DATE</b>	
<span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> Chief, PSD/OL 158 PS Bldg.				2/25/69	
	<b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>		<b>CONFIDENTIAL</b>		<b>SECRET</b>